

# Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening

**Directorate: Environments and** 

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

• the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

Service area:

- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Neighbourhoods	Statutory Housing Services	
Lead person:	Contact number: 2243480	
Rob McCartney		
_		
1. Title: Prudential Borrowing Arrangement for Refurbishing Cottingley Springs		
Is this a:		
Strategy / Policy x Service	ce / Function Other	
If other, please specify		
2. Please provide a brief description of	what you are screening	
Recommendation to secure £226k through a prudential borrowing arrangement, to supplement £890k funding, to carry out refurbishment work at Cottingley Springs on the existing 41 pitches.		

## 3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics?	X	
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?	X	
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?	X	
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		X
<ul> <li>Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on</li> <li>Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment</li> <li>Advancing equality of opportunity</li> <li>Fostering good relations</li> </ul>	X	

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7** 

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5**.

## 4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

A) How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (Think about: the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned – see Ai below) with those likely to be affected)

Gypsies and Travellers are defined ethnic minority groups who have a cultural tradition of nomadic and caravan based living. There is no legal duty on the Council to provide pitch based accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers. The Council does have a legal duty to consider and make reasonable housing provision for Gypsies and Travellers. The Council also has a legal duty to promote equality and harmony between Gypsies and Travellers and the settled community.

In September 2012, Executive Board gave approval for officers to seek funding opportunities to refurbish the existing 41 pitches at Cottingley Springs. The HCA has agreed to give £890k grant funding for this purpose on the condition that work commences on site before the end of March 2013 and that the Council secures the remaining funds to carry out the refurbishment work. The refurbishment work has been costed at £1.312m and therefore a prudential borrowing arrangement is requested to the value of £226k.

Government guidance on planning and managing Gypsy and Traveller sites refers to the importance of making a 'housing offer' that is commensurate in standard to that offered to social housing tenants. A comparison can be made between Cottingley Springs and the investment in council housing, through the decency programme, over the last ten years. The refurbishment programme will make the standard of the 'housing offer' to the residents of Cottingley Springs commensurate to that offered to Leeds City Council tenants in conventional housing. The September 2012 Executive Board also gave approval, subject to a successful planning application, to expand Cottingley Springs by a further 12 pitches. The failure to refurbish the existing pitches, whilst providing new 'decent' pitches, would result in a 'two tier' standard of provision.

# Ai) Is the consultation /engagement listed on Talking Point?

Yes		
No	х	If no, please give reason

The proposal affects the 41 residents of Cottingley Springs and face to face dialogue is being carried out with the residents, supported by an advocacy agency GATE, on all aspects of the refurbishment programme.

## B) Key findings

(Think about: any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring

groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

The proposal to refurbish Cottingley Springs will mean that the residents of the site will receive a 'housing offer' that is commensurate to that provided to Leeds City Council tenants in conventional housing.

#### C) Actions

(Think about: how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

The refurbishment proposals will be developed in a consultative way that ensures the programme of works reflects the needs and aspirations of Gypsies and Travellers and promotes harmonious relations between Gypsies and Travellers and settled communities. It is believed that sufficient regard has been given to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration considerations in relation to these proposals.

5. If you are <b>not</b> already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you <b>will need to carry out an impact assessment</b> .		
Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:		
Date to complete your impact assessment		
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)		

6. Governance, ownership and approval		
Please state here who has app	proved the actions and outcome	s of the screening
Name	Job title	Date
Liz Cook	Chief Statutory Housing	19.2.13
	Officer	

## 7. Publishing

This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published.

Date screening completed	19/02/13
Date sent to Equality Team	19/02/13
Date published	

(To be completed by the Equality Team)	